

#### REMARKS


Applicant takes notice of the allowance of Claims 4, 5, 7-9, 11, 12 and Claims 14-16 inclusive, which allowance is greatly appreciated.

New independent Claim 17 has been rewritten from Claims 1, 2 and 3, while Claim 18 has been rewritten from Claims 10 and 11, and the remainder of the Claims have been made dependent on these independent Claims. However, New Claims 19 and 20 have been added and are based primarily on the original Claim 1. Claim 1 was rejected on the basis of the disclosure of CAPLAN and it is noted that this disclosure does not include a retractable member which is slidably carried on a stationary enclosure and further having outwardly deployable article supporting means which are pivotal arms that are deployed into a storage position within the stationary enclosure. No such teaching is found in the disclosure of CAPLAN.

Regarding the Abstract, an amended Abstract is enclosed herewith.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that Applicant's Claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 be found allowable since all the dependent Claims are based on independent allowed Claim 17. Also, it is respectfully requested that Claims 12, 14, 15 and 16 be allowed as these Claims are based on allowed Claim 18. Claims 19 and 20 are believed allowable since they are not anticipated nor disclosed in the prior art reference of CAPLAN.

Respectfully submitted,



ROGER A. MARRS  
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

Dated: 5 - 2 - 05

15233 Ventura Boulevard  
Suite 1220  
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403  
(818) 788-4115